

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,182	02/25/2004	Ming-Fa Wang	SUND 503	3384
23995	7590 09/14/2006		EXAMINER	
RABIN & Berdo, PC			TON, ANABEL	
1101 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/785,182	WANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anabel M. Ton	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply	/ 10 OFT TO EVENE A MONTH!	O) OD TUUDTY (20) DAYO :				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timular apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au						
,	·					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)		•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,6,8-10,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Collins (5,154,507).

2. The recitation "backlight module" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Application/Control Number: 10/785,182 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

Collins discloses an extruded metallic carrier (22), wherein the metallic carrier has a top-face (area or outer lip corresponding to 22 located above numeral 22 and below 42 and 38)) and a plurality of heat-dissipating channels (16) with an accommodation sink being formed on the top-face (20); the metallic carrier further comprises a base body (12) and two lateral bodies (34) of which the base body has a body's top face while the two lateral bodies are deposited on two ends of the body's top face and form the accommodation sink together with the base body(fig 11); the two lateral bodies are deposited on two ends of body's top face by forming a screw joint with the base body (28,39,40); the two lateral bodies have a plurality of second heat dissipating channels (32) which are disposed within the two lateral bodies (col. 3 lines 45-49) and a light source which is deposited in the accommodation sink (24); a reflector sheet which is deposited in the accommodation sink and is situated under the light source(the accommodation sink is formed of a reflective surface, the term, "deposited" suggests a manufacturing process which Collins appears to anticipate (col. 3 lines 31-36); The metallic carrier has a constant cross-sectional profile along its entire length (fig.11); the metallic carrier is made of aluminum (col.3 lines 29-30,60-64)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5,7,11,13,14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins (5,154,507).

- 5. Collins discloses the claimed invention except for the recitation of the light source comprising a plurality of cold cathode fluorescent lamps and a diffuser deposited above the light source as reicted in claims 5,7,11,13,15-17.
 - With regards to the structure of claims 1 and 8 including a plurality of cold cathode fluorescent lamps it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the light source of Collins with a CCFL since CCFL's are old and well known in the art for their low heat emission. One would have been motivated to substitute the light source of Collins with a CCFL since as stated above, CCFL's are known for their low heat emissions and implementing such a light source in the device of Collins would provide the device with an additional heat reducing feature.
 - With regards there being a plurality of cold cathode fluorescent lamps, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plurality of cold cathode fluorescent lamps in the device of Collins since it has been held that mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Furthermore, a plurality of cold cathode fluorescent lamps in the device of Collins would provide Collins with a greater amount of emitted light making the device brighter.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,182

Art Unit: 2875

• With regards to the device including a diffuser sheet above the light source, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a diffuser sheet in the device of Collins, the implementation of a diffuser sheet in light devices is old and well known for providing the light device with a means for scattering and evenly distributing light emitted through the diffuser sheet. One would have been motivated to include a diffuser sheet above the light source of Collins since a diffuser sheet would provide the device of Collins with a light scattering feature that would aid in evenly distributing light outward from the light source.

Page 5

With regards to claim 14, Collins discloses the claimed invention except for the
recitation of a plurality of cold cathode fluorescent lamps lined up in the
accommodation sink and a diffuser plate deposited above the light source. These
limitations are addressed in the rejections as stated above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,182

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anabel M Ton Examiner

Art Unit 2875

AMT

/ Sandra O'Shaa Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800